

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

If my wireless phone costs increase under this proposal, I may have to consider giving up the safety, security and convenience, in order to continue to maintain my residential service, as I am a low, fixed-income senior citizen, and my residential service costs have risen at least 2 1/2 times what they were 8 years ago, in large part due to the addition of government fees.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Shirley Black
RR2 Box 25715
Watts, Oklahoma 74964